

Judicial Committee
 Mareeba Congregation
 C/- Ron deRooy
 Box REDACTED
 Mareeba. 4880.

Judicial Committee
 Beenleigh Congregation
 April 23 , 1990.

Dear Brothers,

Re: BCH

In reply to your letter concerning BCH application for reinstatement, the following information should prove most helpful. In June, July 1989, a Judicial Committee was formed to consider BCH situation. In time facts showed he had become unscripturally involved with a married sister, Sis REDACTED REDACTED to the extent of spending intimate time and involvement with her, much to the detriment of his family. BCH separated from his wife and family on the pretext of supposed threatened physical violence and while we believe a problem exists in this regard, he certainly did not have any scripturally based grounds to do so. In time, this demonstrated an initial move to an ulterior motive, ie; further involvement with REDACTED with marriage in view while she, in turn, intended to divorce her husband REDACTED who in turn, had intentions of marrying BCH eldest daughter, BCK with the approval of BCH himself !

BCH involvement with REDACTED also continued into another congregation, North Cairns, and the elders there too counselled BCH relationship with REDACTED. Despite our efforts and counsel along with North Cairns, he chose to ignore Jehovah's standards feeling justified that driving alone with REDACTED at all hours of the night on the pretext of business, to towns hours away etc, did not constitute any wrong. He attempted to twist related information from the publications each time we met with him. They continued meeting alone, even to 2a.m. and indeed 4.30a.m. During this time, both admitted to discussing the possibility of marriage and intimate details of a sexual nature when married.

By the series of events, BCH motives became apparent, i.e. of deliberate scheming to exclude his wife BCI and marry REDACTED. Too, his absolute disregard of Jehovah's standards and his total rejection of counsel from this Committee and the Cairns body of Elders emphasized his TOTAL DISRESPECT of theocratic authority. BCH deliberately withheld information and willfully lied throughout our meetings with him.

The Judicial Committee then took the decision to disfellowship

BCH on the serious charges of 1) LOOSE CONDUCT

2) LYING

Brothers, we stress again deliberate scheming and his unrepentant attitude. BCH appealed the decision. Through our Circuit Overseer, Brother M. Fernam, an Appeals Committee was formed of elders

not

not of this congregation. After extensive deliberation they supported the original decision to disfellowship [BCH] on the same grounds. Furthermore, additional charges were brought i.e. sexually molesting his 2 eldest daughters the most recent occasion being in September 1988 of his 2nd daughter [BCG]. It was found [BCH] had developed a warped sexual appetite and mentality that led to the degrading and abominable practice of sexually abusing his own children.

The Appeals Committee on these grounds, added the following gross sins : PORNEIA

: MALICIOUS SIANDER

to the original charges. It should be noted that [BCI] when told of the findings and her scriptural grounds for divorce due to Porneia, did not wish to do so but desired [BCH] to return to consolidate their marriage, and assume his responsibilities. He has not done so. Instead, he has expressed his plans for divorce regardless. He had raised certain allegations about his wife's fidelity, that she has committed adultery, thus providing him scriptural grounds to divorce her. He has absolutely NO GROUNDS to proceed. It was on this that the Appeals Committee brought MALICIOUS SIANDER. He has been advised that if he proceeds to remarry, he faces the consequences as an adulterer. It would appear that both [BCH] and [REDACTED] being in close proximity again, may have that intention. [REDACTED] on the other hand, does have grounds to divorce [REDACTED] her husband, on a technical point.

Therefore, brothers, we firmly believe his application for reinstatement be rejected and extreme caution exercised to any claims of repentance at this stage. With Jehovah's interests at heart and the spiritual condition of his congregation to protect, we feel his deviousness in the past and his actions to date to not right the wrongs committed and continue his divorce action has not shown works befitting repentance! He is conducting himself unscripturally still in that both he and [REDACTED] appear to be 'confused' or 'vague' as to the circumstances- apparently he and perhaps she, still intend to gain approval to their purpose. This certainly fits the original pattern with us.

We apologize for the delay to your inquiries about [BCH]. We pray these details will assist you in your decision on the matter.

Your Brothers


R. deROOY (chairman)


D. ALI